Artikel jurnal dr. Soebandi

by Kustin Kustin

Analysis of Factors Affecting Community Stigma in People with COVID-19 Based on the Theory of the Health Belief Model (HBM).

Kustin, S.KM, M.

STIKES dr. Soebandi Jember

*Korespondensi: kustin@stikesdrsoebandi.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Stigma and discrimination occur in society who view sufferers of Covid 19 as people who need to be avoided, a disease that is feared is highly contagious and a punitive disease can cause anxiety and prejudice against sufferers. The purpose of this study was to analyze the factors that influence the stigma of society in sufferers of Covid 19 based on the theory of the Health Belief Model (HBM).

The design of this study was a descriptive correlation using a cross-sectional approach. The population in this study are people who live in Jember. The research sample used was 150 respondents with accidental sampling design. The independent variables of this study are knowledge, belief (vulnerability, seriousness, benefits, barriers, self-confidence) and perceived threats. The dependent variable is the community stigma of covid-19 sufferers. Independent and dependent variable data were collected using a questionnaire. Data analysis used multiple regression statistical tests with a significance degree of $\alpha < 0.05$ (5%).

The results of data analysis show that knowledge does not have a significant effect on belief (vulnerability 0.969), gain (0.999), barriers (0.999), self-confidence (0.498) while the knowledge variable has an influence on seriousness (0.037). For belief also does not have a significant effect on the threat of vulnerability (1,000), seriousness (0.999), profit (1,000), resistance (1,000) while belief has an effect on self-confidence (0,000). For the threat variable does not have a significant effect on stigma (0.996).

The level of knowledge does not have a significant effect on beliefs (vulnerability, seriousness, advantages, barriers) in sufferers of Covid-19. Knowledge behavior has a significant effect on confidence (self-confidence) in sufferers of Covid-19. Confidence (vulnerability, seriousness, advantages, obstacles, self-confidence) has no effect on the threat to people with Covid-19. Threat level does not have a significant effect on stigma in people with Covid-19 in society. For further research, it can be researched using a more specific measuring tool to measure each variable.

KEYWORDS: Covid-19, Stigma, knowledge, compassion, seriousness, benefits, obstacles, confidence, threats.

INTRODUCTION

Early in 2020 the novel coronavirus (NCP) was declared to have started to become a global pandemic and became a health problem in several countries outside the PRC. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), pneumonia cluster cases with unclear etiology in Wuhan City have become a worldwide health problem. The spread of this epidemic continued to grow until it was finally known that the cause of this pneumonia cluster was the Novel Coronavirus. This pandemic continues to grow until there are reports of deaths and new cases outside China. On January 30, 2020, WHO designated COVID-19 as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) / Public Health Emergency that Concerns the World (KKMMD. COVID-19 in Indonesia was first reported on March 2, 2020 in number of two cases. Meanwhile data for Indonesia updated until April 20, 2020 at 16.30 WIB (Emerging Infection Ministry of Health RI, 2020) are 6,760 Confirmed Cases, 590 Death Cases (8.7%), 747 Cured Cases (11.1%), 5,423 Cases Under Treatment (80.2%). COVID-19 has changed many long-standing habits. Social stigma in the context of health is a negative relationship between a person or group of people who share certain characteristics and certain diseases (WHO, 2020d). often get a stigma that gives a feeling of inferiority. Patients with leprosy, tuberculosis, diabetes, etc. are considered to have a negative stigma in society. they tend to stay away and do not want to get into contact with them even though they have been declared cured.

Stigma and discrimination occur in society who view sufferers of Covid 19 as people who need to be avoided, a disease that is feared, highly contagious and a disease of punishment from society (Septiawan, Mulyani and Susanti, 2018). Strategies for changing stigmatizing and discriminating behavior against Covid 19 sufferers can be studied using the Health Belief Model (HBM) approach. Until now, the stigma of Covid 19 based on the Health Belief Model (HBM) cannot be explained, so researchers conducted research are expected to be able to explain the factors that influence stigma in Covid 19 sufferers. judgment on sufferers of covid 19 which causes people to be reluctant or lazy to conduct examinations at existing health facilities

METHODS

This study was an observational analytic study with a cross-sectional design (Notoatmodjo, S. 2012). The population in this study cannot be determined with certainty how many are the samples, so this population is classified as an infinite population so that the determination of the number of sample is taken from Daniel and Terrel. The sample required as many as 150 respondents. The sampling technique used in this research is incidental sampling by distributing questionnaires using google form. The data collection process carried out in this study is by distributing questionnaires and checklist sheets and observation sheets. If the data has been collected, it will be analyzed statistically with a significance level of 0.05 ($p < 0.05$) and a confidence level of 95% (α

= 0.05). Measuring instruments used in this study were questionnaires and interview guides and observation sheets.

RESULTS

The number of respondents in this study were 150 respondents. An explanation of the demographic characteristics of the respondents is described as follows:

Table 5.1 Distribution of Respondent Demographic Characteristics

		Parameter	N	%
	Age	15-19	30	20
		20-60	120	80
		> 60	0	0
		Total	150	100
Individual Factors Demographics	Covid-19 Information	Ever	150	100
		Never	0	0
		Total	150	100
	Resources	Social media	137	91
		Mass media	3	2
		Newspaper	0	0
		Television	10	7
		Others	0	0
		Total	150	100

Table 5.1 shows that the age distribution of respondents is mostly at the age of 20-16 years, which is 80%. While the distribution of information about covid states that all respondents (100%) they get information about covid19. Information about Covid-19 was obtained by the largest number of respondents from the mass media, namely 137 respondents (91%) in accordance with the current conditions of fairly high technological developments.

1. Description of Research Variables

The variables measured in this study are knowledge, health belief (perceived susceptibility, perceived severy, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, self-efficacy), perceived thread and stigma in sufferers of Covid-19, the following is a description each variable in tabular form:

a. Distribusi tingkat pengetahuan responden tentang Covid-19

Table 5.2 Distribution of respondents' level of knowledge about Covid-19

Tingkat pengetahuan	f	%
Good	145	97
Enough	4	2
Less	1	1
Total	150	100

Table 5.2 shows that the distribution of respondents based on their knowledge is that most respondents have a good level of knowledge, namely as many as 145 respondents (97%).

b. Distribution of respondents' perceived susceptibility about Covid-19

Table 5.3 Distribution of respondents' perceived susceptibility about Covid-19

Belief		Knowladge			Total
		Good	Enough	Less	
Vulnerability	High	122 (81,3%)	3 (2,2%)	1(0,8 %)	126 (83,9%)
	Low	23 (15,3%)	1 (0,8%)	0 (0 %)	24 (16,1%)
	Total	**145 (96,6%)**	**4 (2,8%)**	**1(0,6%)**	**150 (100%)**
Seriousness	High	120 (80,0%)	2 (1,3%)	0 (0%)	122 (81,3%)
	Low	25 (16,6 %)	2 (1,3%)	1 (0,8%)	28 (15,9%)
	Total	145 (96,6%)	4 (2,6%)	1 (0,8%)	**150 (100%)**
Advantage	High	141 (94,2%)	4 (2,6 %)	1 (0,8%)	146 (97,6%)
	Low	4 (2,6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (2,4%)
	Total	**145 (96,6%)**	**4 (2,6%)**	**1 (0,6%)**	**150 (100%)**
Resistance	High	134 (89,3)	4 (2,6%)	1 (0,8%)	139 (92,7%)
	Low	11 (7,3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (7,3%)
	Total	**145 (96,6%)**	**4 (2,6%)**	**1 (0,8%)**	**150 (100%)**
Confidence	High	96 (64,0%)	1 (0,8%)	1 (0,8%)	98 (65,6%)
	Low	49 (32,6%)	3 (2,2%)	0 (0%)	52 (34,4%)
	Total	**145 (96,6%)**	**4 (2,6%)**	**1 (0,8%)**	**150 (100%)**

Table 5.3 shows that as many as 126 respondents (83.9%) have good knowledge with high perceptions of vulnerability, as many as 122 respondents (81.3%) respondents have good knowledge with high perceptions of seriousness, as many as 146 respondents (97.6%) have knowledge. both with high profits, as many as 139 respondents (92.7%) had good knowledge with high barriers and as many as 98 respondents (65.6%) had good knowledge with high self-confidence.Distribusi responden tentang ancaman (t*hread*) responden tentang Covid-19

Table 5.4 Distribution of respondents about the threat (thread) of respondents about Covid-19

Perceived thread	Parameter		N	%
	Tinggi	Rendah		
	98 (65%)	52 (35%)	150	100

Table 5.4 shows that most respondents have a perception of a threat to Covid-19, namely 65% (98 respondents).

c. Distribution of respondents about the stigma of Covid-19

Table 5.5 Distribution of respondents about the Covid-19 stigma

	Parameter		N	%
Perceived thread	Hight	low		
	110 (73%)	40 (27%)	150	100

[1]

Table 5.5 shows that most respondents have a high stigma against Covid-19, namely 73% (110 respondents).

1. Recapitulation of Results

[26]

Recapitulation of research results on the influence of factors of knowledge level, perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefit, perceived barrier, self-efficacy and thread perceptions of stigma. society in sufferers of covid-19.

Table 5.6 Recapitulation of Hypothesis Test Results on the level of influence of knowledge on health beliefs (vulnerability, seriousness, benefits, barriers and self-confidence) in Covid-19 sufferers

knowladge	Vulnerability		Total	P value
	High	Low		
Good	122 (81,3%)	23 (15,3%)		
Enough	3 (2,2%)	1 (0,8%)	150 (100%)	0,969
Less	1 (0,8%)	0 (0%)		
	Seriousness			
	High	Low		
Good	120 (80%)	25 (16,6%)		
Enough	2 (1,3%)	2 (1,3%)	150 (100%)	0,037
Less	0 (0%)	1 (0,8%)		
	Advantage			
	High	Low		
Good	141 (94,2%)	4 (2,6%)		
Enough	4 (2,6%)	0 (0%)	150 (100%)	0,999
Less	1 (0,8%)	0 (0%)		
	Resistance			
	High	Low		
Good	134 (89,3%)	11 (7,3%)		
Enough	4 (2,6%)	0 (0%)	150 (100%)	0,999
Less	1 (0,8%)	0 (0%)		
	Confidence			
	High	Low		
Good	96 (64,0%)	49 (32,6%)		
Enough	1 (0,8%)	3 (2,2%)	150 (100%)	0,498
Less	1 (0,8%)	0 (0%)		

Table 5.6 shows that the statistical test results of knowledge do not have a significant effect on belief (vulnerability, advantage, barriers, self-confidence) but the level of knowledge has an influence on the seriousness that occurs in Covid sufferers

Table 5.7 Recapitulation of Hypothesis Test Results on the level of influence of belief on threats (health belief) (vulnerability, seriousness, advantages, barriers and self-confidence) in Covid-19 sufferers

Belief		Threat		Total	P value
		Higt	Low		
Vulnerability	High	96 (64%)	26 (17%)	150 (100%)	1,000
	Low	1 (4%)	22 (15%)		
Seriousness	High	96 (64%)	28 (19%)	150 (100%)	0,999
	Low	23 (15%)	3 (2%)		
Advantage	High	96 (64%)	45 (32%)	150 (100%)	1,000
	Low	5 (3%)	4 (1 %)		
Resistance	High	96 (64%)	38 (26%)	150 (100%)	1,000
	Low	5 (3%)	11 (7%)		
Confidence	High	96 (64%)	52 (37%)	150 (100%)	0,000
	Low	2 (1%)	0 (0%)		

Table 5.7 shows the statistical test results of the effect of belief on threats (health belief) (vulnerability, seriousness, benefits, barriers) does not have a positive effect. While the variable of confidence (self-confidence) has an influence on the threat of covid 19.

Table 5.7 Recapitulation of Hypothesis Test Results on the level of influence of Threats on Community Stigma against Covid-19 sufferers

threat	Stigma		Total	pvalue
	Higt	Low		
High	96 (64%)	28 (18%)	150 (100%)	0,996
Low	23 (15%)	3 (2%)		

The results of multiple logistic regression tests in table 5.6, table 5.7, table 5.8, it is found that the knowledge factor does not have a significant effect on belief (vulnerability, seriousness, advantages, barriers, self-confidence), confidence (vulnerability, seriousness, advantages, barriers) do not have a significant effect on threats, while confidence (self-confidence) has a significant effect on the threat of covid-19. Threat factors do not have a significant effect on stigma in covid-19 sufferers.

DISCUSSION

1. The influence of the knowledge factor on people's beliefs in Covid-19 sufferers
    The results of data analysis about the influence of the level of knowledge with public confidence in sufferers of Covid-19 show that the results of the study show that there is no significant effect on belief. This insignificant value indicates that there is no

influence between the respondent's level of knowledge and belief. The findings of research data in table 5.2 show that most people have good knowledge about Covid-19, a small proportion of people have sufficient and insufficient knowledge because these people have never received information about Covid-19 or different sources of information obtained.

The results of the study are in line with Notoatmodjo's (2012) theory which states that the factor affecting knowledge is education. A person's education affects the learning process, the higher the level of one's education, the easier it is for someone to receive information. However, the results of this study contradict research conducted by Hermawati (2001) which shows that health education is an effort to help individuals, families and communities to improve both knowledge and attitudes to be able to achieve optimal life. The relationship between belief and knowledge is a belief that is considered true if the person who believes in it has evidence of justification, namely the existence of reasonable opinions, evidence, guidelines and is accepted suskal. A belief even if it is strong is not knowledge. Knowledge requires justification while belief or opinion does not require justification.

This research is also supported by the opinion of Mulyani (2012) from the results of her research showing that knowledge is one of the modifying factors that support a person's behavior in an effort to prevent the disease that is felt. In the results of this study, even though a person has a high level of knowledge, the individual does not feel any belief in contracting Covid-19.

The influence of belief factors, namely perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefits, barriers, self-efficacy to community threats to Covid-19 sufferers.

a. Perceived susceptibility about personal risk or level of susceptibility. This refers to a person's subjective perception of the risk of the health condition he feels. The results showed that vulnerability did not significantly affect threats. This contradicts the theory of Notoatmodjo (2012) which states that someone acts to treat or prevent a disease so that the individual must feel that he is susceptible to the disease. Vulnerability is a fairly subjective condition so that the acceptance of individuals, especially people at high risk of being susceptible to being infected with covid-19 can vary and vary. A person may be declared as having a vulnerability that he is at risk of contracting or suffering from Covid-19. A person, on the other hand, may be declared to have a very weak vulnerability to covid-19 if the individual does not have the belief that he is at risk of suffering or contracting and contracting Covid-19 if the individual does not have a family or has been in contact with an infected person. People who are at high risk who have a very strong vulnerability to contracting or contracting Covid-19 may be motivated to give bad perceptions of sufferers of Covid-19.

Perceived vulnerability actually refers to a subjective assessment of risk for health problems. Individuals who believe that they have a low risk of disease are more likely to perform unhealthy actions, and individuals who perceive their high risk will be more likely to perform behaviors to reduce their risk of developing disease (Onoruoiza, 2015)

Several conditions suggest that perceptions of increased vulnerability will have a strong association with healthier behaviors, and decreased vulnerability for more unhealthy behaviors. However, under certain conditions this concept is not always the case. (Courtenay, 1998). These results are also in line with the results of research conducted by Widodo (2009) in the Koplak Grobogan brothel, which states that the lower the perception of one's vulnerability, the lower the disease prevention efforts. Vice versa. This further reinforces that the relationship between perceived vulnerability is directly proportional to health measures, if in this study it is in the form of covid-19 prevention efforts.

In the conditions of the spread of COVID19, at first many people still felt that this disease was still far away and not close to where they lived. This disease is a curse disease in certain nations because of their behavior, so they will not be affected by the disease. So the possibility of being hit, is still far away or not at all. This is reinforced by a meme which says that Indonesian people have a disease that is more dangerous than corona so that this disease will be afraid of entering Indonesia.

b. Perceived severty. Feelings of the seriousness of an illness include evaluating clinical as well as medical consequences (for example death, disability, and illness) as well as possible social consequences (such as effects on work, family life and social relationships). Many experts combine the two components above as a perceived threat. The results showed that seriousness did not significantly affect the threat due to covid-19. This result is not in line with the theory presented by Notoatmodjo (2012) which states that knowledge or cognitive is a very important domain for the formation of a person's actions. Based on experience and research, knowledge factors affect the seriousness felt by people who are at high risk of contracting or contracting Covid-19.

c. Perceived benefits are perceived benefits. Acceptance of someone's susceptibility to a condition that is trusted can lead to seriousness (perceived threat) is to encourage to produce a strength that supports behavior change. This is not in accordance with the theory of Notoatmodjo (2012) which states that a person's belief in the effectiveness of the various available efforts in reducing the threat of disease, or the perceived benefits in taking these health efforts. When a person shows a belief in susceptibility and seriousness, they are often not expected to accept whatever health measures are recommended unless they are considered optimal. The results showed that the perception of profit did not significantly affect the threat. If a person feels personal vulnerability to serious health conditions (threats), whether this perception leads to a change in behavior will be influenced by people's beliefs about the perceived benefits of actions that can be taken to reduce the threat of disease.

Individual belief in the magnitude of the benefits of an action, will encourage individuals to take the action. If an individual has the belief that a certain action will reduce his vulnerability to a disease, or decrease the severity of a disease, then that individual has a high probability of taking that action. This is in line with research which states that there is a significant relationship between perceived benefits and individual commitment in preventing tertiary hypertension (Notoatmodjo, 2015).

Other research also states that there is a significant relationship between perceived benefits and efforts to prevent hypertension (Adawiyah, 2014).

d. Barriers (barrier) or perceived barriers to change or when individuals face obstacles found in taking these actions. this is not in accordance with the theory of Notoatmodjo (2015) which states a person's efforts to behave in a healthy life. In addition to the four beliefs or perceptions. Potential negative aspects of a health effort (such as worry, unsuitability, displeasure, nervousness) that may act as barriers to recommending a behavior. The results showed that the perception of losses or obstacles had no effect on threats. The negative aspects of certain health measures that are considered harmful can act as a barrier to carrying out the suggested behavior. In general, the benefits of action determine more than the obstacles that may be found in carrying out the action.

Barriers that can arise are based on several factors, such as age, gender, place of residence, self-assessment, whether or not they are able to overcome the disease or the belief that they will not get the disease because of various factors that strengthen this belief. According to the Health Belief Model the possibility of an individual taking a preventive action depends on two things, namely the perceived threat and the advantages and disadvantages being considered (Machfoedz and Suryani 2006.). Barriers that are found when carrying out a health behavior will affect the effort of a person, if the perceived obstacles are very large, the possibility of a person to do a health behavior will be smaller (Hall, 2011). This is in accordance with other research which states that there is a significant relationship between perceived barriers and behavior seeking treatment (Trisnawan, 2015). It is also in line with the research of Kurniawati and Sulistyowati (2014) which shows that the perception of obstacles has a significant relationship to the prevention of pathological vaginal discharge (Kurniawati and Sulistyowati, 2014). prevention of tertiary hypertension (Purwono, 2014)

e. Self-efficacy is belief in one's own ability to do something. This is in line with Bandura's theory that they generally don't try to do something new unless they think they can do it. If a person believes a new behavior is useful (perceived benefit), it is likely that it will not be done. The results showed that the perception of self-confidence had an influence on threats. There are many factors that influence the threat, one of which is self-confidence.

2. The influence of threat factors on community stigma in covid-19 sufferers

    The results of data analysis about the effect of threats on community stigma in sufferers of Covid-19 show that the results of the study show that there is no influence between the threat of the respondent and the stigma that occurs in the community. This insignificant value indicates that there is no influence between the threat of the respondent and the stigma in people with Covid-19. In contrast to the early emergence of a pandemic, people who experience it are considered as people who have been cursed so that in their social life they are shunned by the surrounding environment. The findings in table 5.8 show that most people have a high threat to stigma, a small

proportion of people have a low threat to stigma because people do not feel threatened by covid-19.

This is not in line with Rosenstock's theory which states that the main aspects of health behavior include the threat aspect, namely the perception of self-vulnerability to disease (or willingness to accept a disease diagnosis) and about the severity of the disease or health condition. In the results of this study, the threat is a subjective condition so that individual acceptance, especially the high risk of being infected with Covid-19, can vary and vary. A person may be declared to have a very strong threat to Covid-19 if the person concerned has a family or direct contact with a patient who has been confirmed positive. A person can be declared to have a low or weak threat to Covid-19 if he does not have the belief that he is at risk of suffering from Covid-19, who does not have a family or direct contact with the sufferer. People at high risk of having who have a very strong threat to Covid-19 are likely to be motivated to give bad perceptions of sufferers of Covid-19

CONCLUSIONS

The results of research conducted on 150 respondents regarding the Analysis of Factors Affecting Community Stigma in Covid-19 Sufferers Based on the Theory of the Health Belief Model (HBM), here are the conclusions of these results:

1. The level of knowledge does not have a significant effect on beliefs (vulnerability, seriousness, advantages, barriers) in sufferers of Covid-19
2. Knowledge behavior has a significant effect on confidence (self-confidence) in sufferers of Covid-19
3. Confidence (vulnerability, seriousness, advantages, obstacles, self-confidence) has no effect on the threat to sufferers of Covid-19.
4. Threat level does not have a significant effect on the stigma of Covid-19 sufferers in society

REFERENCE

Adawiyah. 2014. *Persepsi Lansia Dengan Upaya Pencegahan Hipertensi Di Pos bindu Bumi Asri RW IV Kelurahan Sambiroto Semarang*. Semarang: Universitas Muhammadiyah. SkripsiAnies. 2016. Eksiklopedia Penyakit. Yogyakarta: PT. Kanisius

Hall. Guyton, Arthur C., John, E. 2011. *Buku Ajar Fisiologi Kedokteran*. Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kedokteran EGC.

Kurniawati C, Sulistyowati M. *Aplikasi Teori Health Belief Model dalam Pencegahan Keputihan Patologis*. *Jurnal Promkes*. Desember 2014; 2(2): 117–127

Machfoedz. I, Suryani. E, Sutrisno., Santoso. S. 2006. *Pendidikan Kesehatan Bagian dari Promosi Kesehatan*. Yogyakarta: Fitramaya

Notoatmodjo,S. 2012. *Metodologi Penelitian Kesehatan*. *Jakarta*: Rineka Cipta.

Notoatmodjo, Soekidjo. 2012. *Promosi kesehatan dan Perilaku Kesehatan*. Jakarta :Rineka cipta

Notoatmodjo, Soekidjo. 2015. *Promosi kesehatan dan Perilaku Kesehatan*. Jakarta :Rineka cipta

Onoruoiza SI, Musa, Umar BD, Kunle 2015, *Using Health Beliefs Model as an Intervention to Non Compliance with Hypertension Information among Hypertensive Patient*, *IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, *20(9):* *V*, diakses 16 Januari 2021, www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss/papers/Vol20-issue9/Version-5/B020951116.pdf

Purwono J. 2014.. *Faktor-Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Komitmen Pencegahan Tersier Penyakit Hipertensi Pada Masyarakat Di Wilayah Kerja Puskesmas Kota Metro Tahun 2014*. Jurnal Keperawatan Aisyiyah 1 (2)

Trisnawan PD. *Determinan Perilaku Pencarian Pengobatan pada Mahasiswa*. 2015;http://repository.uinjkt.ac.id/dspace/bitstream/123456789/25787/1/SUPRIA DI-FKIK.pdf.diakses 2 Januari 2021

WHO (2020d) *Coronavirus (Covid-19) Dashboard (New Website)*. Available at: https://who.sprinklr.com/# (Accessed: 13 Oktober 2020)

Widodo, E. 2009. *Praktik Wanita Pekerja Seks (WPS) dalam Pencegahan Infeksi Menular Seksual (IMS) dan HIV dan AIDS di Lokalisasi Koplak Kabupaten Grobogan. Jurnal Promosi Kesehatan Indonesia Vol 4 No. 2*. https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/jpki/article/view/2345

Artikel jurnal dr. Soebandi

17	swfanf.fandom.com Internet Source	<1%
18	"Environmental Sanitation Handling Strategy in Slum Area in Palu City, Indonesia", International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 2019 Publication	<1%
19	pesquisa.bvsalud.org Internet Source	<1%
20	test.dovepress.com Internet Source	<1%
21	doaj.org Internet Source	<1%
22	Submitted to Intercollege Student Paper	<1%
23	journal.unair.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
24	Submitted to University of Leeds Student Paper	<1%
25	jurnal.umsu.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
26	repository.unair.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
	ejournal.undip.ac.id	

27	Internet Source	<1%
28	eprints.binadarma.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
29	kclpure.kcl.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
30	Lono Wijayanti, Nur Ainiyah. "THE EFFECT OF THE SKIN PERSONAL HYGIENE MODULES AS HEALTH EDUCATION MEDIA AGAINST KNOWLEDGE IN PREVENTION OF SKABIES", Nurse and Health: Jurnal Keperawatan, 2019 Publication	<1%
31	Wenjie Duan, He Bu, Zheng Chen. "COVID-19-related stigma profiles and risk factors among people who are at high risk of contagion", Social Science & Medicine, 2020 Publication	<1%
32	Retno Widowati, Triana Indrayani, Rukmaini Rukmaini. "The Analysis of Covid-19 Knowledge of Health Sciences Students Based on Their Characteristics", STRADA Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan, 2020 Publication	<1%
33	Sara A. Quandt, Natalie J. LaMonto, Dana C. Mora, Jennifer W. Talton, Paul J. Laurienti, Thomas A. Arcury. "COVID-19 Pandemic	<1%

among Latinx Farmworker and Non-farmworker Families in North Carolina: Knowledge, Risk Perceptions, and Preventive Behaviors", Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2020
Publication

34	malariajournal.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	<1%
35	www.jehp.net Internet Source	<1%

Exclude quotes	Off		Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off			